Title

Document for Casino Management System as designed by SWAG. It has minimal functionality as of current and covers use cases for logging in, adding and removing funds, and adding to the Work Schedule.

Team

All team members involved contributed to varying degrees:

Henry Felerski

Andrew Gantenbein

Sully Cain

Chris Reeves

Tanner Hoerter

Revision History and Reviews

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date | Action | Who Reviewed? | Comments |
| 12/14/17 | Created | Henry Felerski | Created document, did Title, Team, and started my section of the Team Summary Time Log. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Team Summary Time Log

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Team Member | Total Time (minutes) | Activities |
| Henry Felerski | 205 | 9/28 Work on Use Case Diagram  10/3 Work on CRC cards  10/4 Continue work on CRC cards  10/5 Group revision of CRC cards  //Time up to date up to AnalysisPart1 but still need to //log what you actually did then |
| Andrew Gantenbein |  |  |
| Sully Cain |  |  |
| Chris Reeves |  |  |
| Tanner Hoerter |  |  |

Team Meetings

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date | Duration | Purpose | Who |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Why are we doing this Deliverable?

We as a group feel like the point of this deliverable is a reflection of the progress that we made throughout the entire semester. Our original idea with the choice of language during our implementation phase of was to make the platform comfortable with all of the group members. However, we never really put too much thought into the choice and it proved that we should have put more thought into it when we made the choice. When we went to implement a good majority of our system, we ran into a large amount of issues that revolved around the choice of language because the syntax of C++ was something that we as a group were very unfamiliar with. Also, having split header and cpp files made it harder to manage. So, we made the decision as a group to switch to C# because it was something that was a lot easier to read and the libraries built into the language was more fit to handle the operations that we needed to do in order to accomplish our goal as a group. Also, since we all had experience developing in Visual Studio environment, it was a simple choice to make that the IDE that we wanted to develop our project in.

The prototype that we created for the demonstration in class was not originally the prototype that we had wanted to develop for the demonstration itself. In our minds we wanted to be able to have the functionality of our use case diagram and maybe some other added features along the way. However, since we ran into countless issues during the implementation phase, we were not able to deliver the prototype that we wanted to. The main reason that prototype that we presented was not up to the standard that we wanted it to be is that a lot of our group members were unavailable to contribute during the implementation phase due to work commitments, family matters, and other school functions. The direct result of this was the rushed implementation phase that saw insufficient amounts of testing, resulting in bugs in a prototype that we presented.

At this moment in time, our group as a whole does not have the desire to continue with the development of this project. However, some of us may of winter break continue the development of the product and expanding to have different features, such as a database hosted at a remote location or maybe a mobile application for the gamblers using our system.

Deliverables

Project Files and References used in this Deliverable

Issues